

## **Air Force Research Laboratory**





Integrity ★ Service ★ Excellence

#### Generation of AE9/AP9 Runtime Tables

10 October 2012

Paul O'Brien Research Scientist The Aerospace Corporation For the Air Force Research Laboratory Space Vehicles Directorate Kirtland Air Force Base, N.M.









- •List of Runtime Tables
- •Runtime Process
- •Flow chart of statistical processes
- •Example of gap filling with templates
- •Example results for one bin
- Correlations





### **Runtime Tables**



Quantity	Symbol	Size	Purpose
Parameter map	θ(Ε,Κ,Φ)	~50,000 x 2	Represents transformed 50 <sup>th</sup> and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile flux on coordinate grid (weather variation) $\theta_1 = \ln(50\% \text{ Flux}), \theta_2 = \ln[(95\% \text{ Flux})-(50\% \text{ Flux})]$
Parameter Perturbation Transform	S <sub>θ</sub> (E,K,Φ)	~50,000 x 2 x ~10	Represents error covariance matrix for $\theta$ (measurement errors). $S_{\theta}S_{\theta}^{T}$ is the error covariance matrix for $\theta$ .
Principal Component Matrix	Q(Ε,Κ,Φ)	~50,000 x 10	Represents principal components (q) of spatial variation (spatial correlation). QQ <sup>T</sup> is the spatial covariance matrix for normalized flux (z).
Time Evolution Matrix	G's	~10 x 10 x 5	Represents persistence of principal components (temporal correlation)
Noise Conditioning Matrix	С	~10 x 10	Allocates white noise driver to principal components (Monte Carlo dynamics)
Marginal Distribution Type	N/A	N/A	Weibull (electrons) or Lognormal (protons) used for converting 50 <sup>th</sup> and 95 <sup>th</sup> percentiles into mean or other percentiles



## **Runtime Process**





*G, C, and the parameters of the conversion from PCs to flux are derived from statistical properties of empirical data and physicsbased simulations*  The measurement matrix H is derived from the location of the spacecraft and the energies/angles of interest

To obtain percentiles and confidence intervals for a given mission, one runs many Monte Carlo or Perturbed Mean scenarios and post-processes the flux time series to compute statistics on the estimated radiation effects <u>across</u> scenarios.



# **Generating the Runtime Tables**







## Illustration of Building a Whole Flux Map from One Data Set







- The  $\Delta \theta$  smoothing/filling algorithm is a nearest-neighbors average
- For each combination of template and sensor data set we make several filled-in flux maps
- We bootstrap over templates, errors in  $\theta$  ( $\delta\theta$ ) and combinations of data sets to estimate the error in the filled-in flux map
- We combine these filled-in flux maps over all sensors to get a best estimate flux map and its errors ( $\underline{S}_{\theta}$ )

6



## Spectra in One Bin, AE9









- •Correlations in fluxes and in model/data errors have a significant impact on any results obtained from the model
- •Correlations are very hard to measure and quantify
- •The use of templates allows us to address correlated errors (e.g., some particular sensor is a little higher than the others in some regions of space). These correlated errors end up in  $\underline{S}_{\theta}$ .
- •The use of principal components (<u>Q</u>) allows us to address spatial correlations in the fluxes. However, the principal components are derived from an empirical estimate of spatial correlations







- •Empirical flux correlations are sparse (rarely do we have two satellites in any given pair of grid points)
- •Empirical correlations can be artificially large due to sample size limitations
- •We would like to explore obtaining spatial correlations from long-term simulations, especially data assimilative ones (reanalyses)
- •This would also allow us to obtain better spatiotemporal correlations for the monte carlo dynamics (<u>G</u>'s, <u>C</u>)
  - e.g., solar rotation, semiannual, and, someday, solar cycle timescales
  - •AP9: 1, 4, 26, 52 weeks
  - •AE9: 1, 7, 14, 27, 183, 365 days





#### **Questions & Discussion**



