



Air Force Research Laboratory



AE9/AP9 V1.20.002 Model Validation Summary Report

10 December 2014

AE9/AP9/SPM Development Team

These validation plots were generated by the model *prior* to the Jan 2015 update of the IGRF magnetic field model coefficients and the associated regeneration of the neural network database files.

Integrity ★ Service ★ Excellence



Distribution A: Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.
377ABW-2014-0883



AP9 V1.20.001 Validation

Satellite	Sensor	Orbit	Time Period	Energies (MeV)
POES	SEM2 MEPED	LEO 850 km, circular, 98.7°	Jul 1998 – Dec 2011	>16, >35, >70, >140

POES N15

POES data processing:

- POES data is processed based on response functions in POES/METOP SEM-2 OMNI Flux Algorithm Theory and Software Description, J. Machol, 27 Mar 2012 (see AE9/AP9 V1.20.002 Model Validation Full Report for details).
- >6.9 MeV channel not used due to significant electron contamination.
- POES results are treated as omnidirectional averages (i.e., no modeling of proton pitch angle distribution and instrument angular response).

Content:





- Slides 5-10 compare AP9 V1.2 mean to POES observations from Jan 1999 (solar min) and Jan 2005 (solar max).
- Slides 11-25 compare AP9 V1.2 Monte Carlo results (40 runs) for 2005 to POES observations from Jul 1998 to Dec 2011 (full solar cycle).

POES N15





Summary:

- AP9 reproduces the geographic extent of the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) as observed by POES
- AP9 and POES generally agree within 2x for SAA peak fluxes.
- AP9 and POES disagreements at outskirts of SAA (where fluxes are low) reach 3-10x at a some locations.
- Range of annual fluences observed by POES fall within the ranges represented by AP9 Monte Carlo results.

POES proton data and AP9 V1.2 mean flux scatter plot, Jan 1999 (after solar min)




POES proton data and AP9 V1.2 mean flux scatter plot, Jan 2005 (after solar max)




POES and AP9 V1.2 mean proton flux time series, Jan 1999 (after solar min)


> 16 MeV




> 35 MeV



> 70 MeV




> 140 MeV




POES and AP9 V1.2 mean proton flux time series, Jan 2005 (after solar max)


> 16 MeV




> 35 MeV



> 70 MeV




> 140 MeV




POES and AP9 V1.2 mean proton fluence time series, Jan 1999 (after solar min)


> 16 MeV




> 35 MeV







> 70 MeV



> 140 MeV




POES and AP9 V1.2 mean proton fluence time series, Jan 2005 (after solar max)




POES and AP9 V1.2 Monte Carlo proton flux time series, Jan 2005 (after solar max)


> 16 MeV




> 35 MeV



> 70 MeV




> 140 MeV




POES and AP9 V1.2 Monte Carlo proton fluence time series


> 16 MeV




> 35 MeV



> 70 MeV









> 140 MeV





>16 MeV protons





AP9 V1.2 Monte Carlo results



POES NOAA-15 data, 1998-2011




electron
contamination




electron
contamination

>16 MeV protons—ratio of AP9 to POES obs.




>35 MeV protons


AP9 V1.2 Monte Carlo results



POES NOAA-15 data, 1998-2011






>35 MeV protons—ratio of AP9 to POES obs.






>70 MeV protons


AP9 V1.2 Monte Carlo results



POES NOAA-15 data, 1998-2011




>70 MeV protons—ratio of AP9 to POES obs.




>140 MeV protons


AP9 V1.2 Monte Carlo results



POES NOAA-15 data, 1998-2011




>140 MeV—ratio of AP9 to POES obs.




Profiles across SAA at POES orbit, >16 MeV protons


East-west profile



North-south profile




POES MEPED background




electron contamination

Profiles across SAA at POES orbit, >35 MeV protons

East-west profile




North-south profile




Profiles across SAA at POES orbit, >70 MeV protons

East-west profile




North-south profile




Profiles across SAA at POES orbit, >140 MeV protons





East-west profile



North-south profile



Profile across north edge of SAA at POES orbit: East-west profile at equator



AE9 V1.20.001 Validation

Satellite	Sensor	Orbit	Time Period	Energies (MeV)
POES N15	SEM2/ MEPED	LEO 850 km, 98.7°	Jul 1998 – Dec 2011	> 0.10, > 0.30
DEMETER	IDP	LEO 660 km, 98.2°	Jan 2005 – Dec 2010	0.108, 0.322, 0.393, 0.803
DSP-21	CEASE	GEO 35780 km, 0°	Aug 2001 – Nov 2009	> 0.37, > 0.56, > 1.51, > 2.02
GOES 10	SEM/ EPS	GEO 35780 km, 0-4°	Jul 1998 – Dec 2009	> 2.0
TACSAT4	CEASE	MEO 735 km x 12024 km, 63.5°	Oct 2011 – Dec 2011	> 0.37, > 0.56, > 1.51, > 2.02, > 2.42

- Data sets processed using standard geometric factors and algorithms obtained from source.
- No additional cleaning or cross-calibration was performed.


POES N15/SEM

Summary:

- AE9 reproduces overall morphology of electron belts observed in LEO.
- High latitude horns of outer belt in AE9 are more narrow in latitude than POES observations.
- AE9 mean and 95th percentile are more intense near SAA than POES observations.
- Range of AE9 Monte Carlo fluence results is similar to solar cycle variation of POES data.

POES 0 deg & 90 deg electron channels

POES N15, 13 years, 1998 - 2011




Large dependence on look angle


- POES MEPED electron channels have 30° field of view: one pointed toward local zenith (0°), other toward horizon opposite ram direction (90°).
- Both channels are significantly contaminated by protons in SAA.
- For validation results presented here, the average of the two channels is used for comparison to AE9 omnidirectional results.

POES time series (electrons)



Several orbits



- AE9 MC scenarios
- AE9 median
- AE9 95th %ile
- AE9 75th %ile
- AE9 mean
- AE8 max
- AE8 min
- POES N15 data
- 10^{-14} (IGRF+OPQ)




One orbit




POES > 0.1 MeV electrons

POES N15 – 13 years, 1998 - 2011


Median






Mean



95th percentile




AE9 Monte Carlo – 1 yr (2005)




POES > 0.3 MeV electrons

POES N15 – 13 years, 1998 - 2011


Median






Mean





95th percentile



AE9 Monte Carlo – 1 yr (2005)



POES electron fluence – 1 yr for each of 13 years




- Range of AE9 MC results is comparable to range of individual yearly results from POES—POES range mostly results from solar cycle variation.

POES — AE8

> 0.100 MeV

POES N15, AE8 max, 2005
median omnidirectional flux ($\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), >100 keV


AE8MAX



> 0.300 MeV


POES N15, AE8 max, 2005
median omnidirectional flux ($\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), >300 keV

AE8MAX




POES N15, AE8 min, 2005
median omnidirectional flux ($\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), >100 keV

AE8MIN



POES N15, AE8 min, 2005
median omnidirectional flux ($\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$), >300 keV

AE8MIN




DEMETER/IDP

Summary:


- AE9 reproduces overall morphology of electron belts observed in LEO.
- High latitude horns of outer belt in AE9 are more narrow in latitude than DEMETER observations, particularly at 0.1 MeV.
- AE9 95th percentile, relative to DEMETER data, has a more intense peak near the SAA but has a less geographically expansive region of high flux.
- Annual fluence results from DEMETER data (six years) show less variability than AE9 Monte Carlo results at 0.1 MeV, more variability at 0.8 MeV, and are somewhat comparable at intermediate energies.

DEMETER electron time series (~ 1.5 orbits)


0.108 MeV




0.198 MeV





0.322 MeV



0.500 MeV



0.803 MeV



- Grey regions denote high latitudes where no DEMETER data are available.




DEMETER 0.108 MeV electrons

DEMETER - 6 yrs (2005 – 2010)




Median

Mean

95th percentile



AE9 Monte Carlo - 1 yr (2005)






DEMETER 0.322 MeV electrons

DEMETER - 6 yrs (2005 – 2010)




Median

Mean

95th percentile



AE9 Monte Carlo - 1 yr (2005)






DEMETER 0.803 MeV electrons

DEMETER - 6 yrs (2005 – 2010)




Median

Mean

95th percentile




AE9 Monte Carlo - 1 yr (2005)




DEMETER electrons (2005)

AE8MAX


0.108 MeV



0.322 MeV




0.322 MeV




AE8MIN


0.108 MeV



0.198 MeV




0.322 MeV




DEMETER electron fluence - 1 yr for each of 6 years


0.108 MeV




0.198 MeV




0.322 MeV



0.500 MeV



0.803 MeV



POES/SEM 2 vs DEMETER/IDP

Summary:



- These charts compare POES and DEMETER results, given the similarity of their orbits (with the caveat of different altitudes, 850 km and 660 km, respectively).
- DEMETER channel data were used to construct estimates shown here for the energy coverage of the POES MEPED channels (>0.1 , >0.3 MeV).
- DEMETER-based estimates are at the high end of AE9 Monte Carlo results for POES, despite DEMETER's lower altitude.
- Comparison of POES and DEMETER results for LEO show different shapes and coverage for the SAA—this is partly from the different altitudes but also from differences in the instruments.

DEMETER and POES electron flux during same period

DEMETER

> 0.100 MeV (equivalent POES channel)

> 0.300 MeV (equivalent POES channel)





- AE9 MC scenarios
- AE9 median
- AE9 95th %ile
- AE9 75th %ile
- AE9 mean
- AE8 max
- AE8 min
- DEMETER data
- • • • • 10^{L-m} (IGRF+OPQ)

POES

> 0.100 MeV


> 0.300 MeV




- AE9 MC scenarios
- AE9 median
- AE9 95th %ile
- AE9 75th %ile
- AE9 mean
- AE8 max
- AE8 min
- POES N15 data
- • • • • 10^{L-m} (IGRF+OPQ)

DEMETER and POES electron fluence

> 0.100 MeV




> 0.300 MeV




DEMETER > 0.100 MeV electrons (POES equivalent)

DEMETER, 6 years (2005-2010)






POES N15 – 13 years, 1998 - 2011






DEMETER > 0.300 MeV electrons (POES equivalent)

DEMETER, 6 years (2005-2010)



POES N15 – 13 years, 1998 - 2011




GOES10/SEM

Summary:


- Diurnal variation of flux observed by GOES is replicated in AE9.
- GOES-observed high and low flux periods are within the ranges represented by AE9 Monte Carlo scenarios.
- GOES-observed fluence is similar to the AE9 median once most of a solar cycle is represented.
- Cumulative flux distribution observed by GOES is generally at the low side of AE9 results, but similar for the highest 20% of fluxes.

GOES electron time series


Ten years (1998 – 2008)



1 week (high flux period)




1 week (low flux period)




- Grey regions denote high latitudes where no DEMETER data are available

GOES electron fluence & cumulative distribution

Fluence - Ten years (1998 – 2008)



Cumulative flux distribution - Ten years (1998 – 2008)



- GOES observed fluence is below AE9 results through 2003, but close to the AE9 median once most of a solar cycle has been observed. AE9 does not reproduce solar cycle phase, but it does represent the range of conditions observed through a full solar cycle.

DSP21/CEASE

Summary:

- Short-term (1-30 day timescale) flux dynamics observed by DSP21 are comparable to those in AE9 Monte Carlo results.
- DSP21 observed fluence trends are similar to AE9 results and are very close to the AE9 median for most energy channels.
- Cumulative distribution of DSP21 observed fluxes are mostly comparable to AE9 Monte Carlo results.

DPS21 electron time series

T03 > 0.37 MeV




T04 > 0.56 MeV

D01 > 1.51 MeV

10 years

10 years




10 years



50 day

50 day


50 day




DPS21 electron time series

D03 > 2.02 MeV

10 years




50 day



DPS21 electron fluence & cumulative distribution (9 years, 2001 - 2010)


T03 > 0.37 MeV

Fluence




T04 > 0.56 MeV

Fluence




D01 > 1.51 MeV


Fluence




Cumulative flux distribution



Cumulative flux distribution




Cumulative flux distribution




DPS21 electron fluence & cumulative distribution (9 years, 2001 - 2010)

D03 > 2.02 MeV

Fluence



Cumulative flux distribution



TACSAT4/CEASE

Summary:



- Short timescale samples of flux vs. time observations from TacSat-4 fall within the ranges of AE9 Monte Carlo runs.
- TacSat-4 fluence results for 75 days are near the AE9 Monte Carlo median for two energy channels, near the 95th percentile for three channels, and at the low end of Monte Carlo results for two channels.

TACSAT-4/CEASE electron flux time series and fluence

Flux

T01 > 0.14 MeV



T02 > 0.17 MeV



Fluence

T01 > 0.14 MeV


T02 > 0.17 MeV




TACSAT-4/CEASE electron flux time series and fluence

Flux


T03 > 0.37 MeV



T04 > 0.56 MeV




D01 > 1.51 MeV




Fluence


T03 > 0.37 MeV



T04 > 0.56 MeV



D01 > 1.51 MeV





TACSAT-4/CEASE electron flux time series and fluence

Flux

D03 > 2.02 MeV



D02 > 2.42 MeV



Fluence

D03 > 2.02 MeV


D02 > 2.42 MeV



Comments on Model/Data Comparisons

Model Error Bars versus Data Sets

Median and 1-s for each data set and the model



Electron Plasma Model (SPME), v1.2
 $L_m=7$, $a=35$ ($i_2=4$, $i_3=11$, $i_{red}=88$, $i_{full}=94$)

- The data sets spread over about a factor of 10.
- The model error is about a factor of 3.
- The model error is *small* because there are many data sets.
- If the model error covered the spread of the data *it would never shrink no matter how many data sets we added.*
- The model error bars are designed so that a model update with a new data set will still fall within the error bars of the prior model release.

We do not expect any individual data set to fall within the model error bars.